United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,675	12/31/2003	Yong-Hec Lee	11038-177-999 2229	
24341 MORGAN, LF	7590 06/05/2007 WIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.		EXAMINER	
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE 3000 EL CAMINO REAL			MONIKANG, GEORGE C	
PALO ALTO,	· -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2615	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/750,675	LEE, YONG-HEE			
omee notion dummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	George C. Monikang	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2003.				
, —	·—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10750675. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/11/2006, 4/14/2005, 5/6/2004</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Breed et al, US Patent 6,778,672 B2.

Re Claim 1, Breed et al discloses a method of improving speaker sound quality in a vehicle (<u>abstract</u>), comprising the steps of: determining standard sound ranges based upon the number and positions of passengers in a standard vehicle (<u>abstract</u>; <u>col. 20</u>, <u>lines 50-63</u>); creating a memory table with data describing the most appropriate speaker angles and sound pressure output levels for each standard sound range (<u>abstract</u>; <u>col. 7, lines 4-7; col. 20, lines 19-24</u>); detecting a passenger's position (<u>col. 20, lines 5-12</u>), number of passengers (<u>col. 20, lines 5-12</u>), and positions of the passengers' ears (<u>col. 6, lines 58-62</u>); selecting the standard sound range that corresponds to the number and positions of passengers in the vehicle (<u>col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3</u>); finding the speaker angles and sound pressure output levels from the memory table corresponding to the standard sound range from the selecting step (<u>col. 7, lines 4-7; col.</u>

Art Unit: 2615

<u>20, lines 40-49</u>); adjusting each speaker so that each speaker satisfies the speaker angles and sound pressure output levels from the finding step (<u>col. 20, lines 40-49</u>).

Re Claim 2, Breed et al discloses the method in claim 1, wherein the sound standard range is divided into eleven seating arrangements and the eleven seating arrangements (abstract: occupant positions) include: a first instance of a driver seated in a driver's seat; a second instance of a passenger seated in a VIP seat (fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3); third to sixth instances where two passengers are seated in a driver's seat and a front passenger seat (fig. 5a; col. 20. lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3), two passengers are seated in the back seats in the left and right sides (fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3), one passenger is seated in the driver's seat and one passenger is seated in the left passenger seat (fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3), and one passenger is seated in the front passenger seat and another passenger is seated in the right passenger seat (fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3); seventh to tenth instances where three passengers are seated in the two front seats and one passenger is seated in the left passenger seat (fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3), two passengers are seated in the front two seats and one passenger is seated in the right passenger seat (fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3), two passengers are seated in the back seat and a driver is (fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3) seated in the driver's seat (), and two passengers are seated in the back seats and one passenger is seated in the front passenger seat (fig. 5a; col. 20,

Art Unit: 2615

<u>lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3</u>); and an eleventh instance where four passengers are seated in the front two seats and in the back seats (<u>fig. 5a; col. 20, lines 50-62; col. 20, line 63 through col. 21, line 3</u>).

Claim 4 has been analyzed and rejected according to claim 1.

Re Claim 5, Breed et al discloses the method in claim 4, further comprising the steps of: executing the detecting step at predetermined intervals (*col. 20, lines 41-49; since speaker is adjusted to direct to occupants ears, the system will have to obtain new signals when the occupant moves his/her head)*; and executing the determining and adjusting steps if the position of the passengers changed since the speakers were most recently adjusted (*col. 20, lines 41-49; speakers are adjusted to direct occupants ears*).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2615

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breed et al, US Patent 6,778,672 B2 as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Ogino et al, US Patent 5,404,128.

Re Claim 3, Breed et al discloses the method in claim 1, wherein the detecting step further comprises: using ultrasonic sensors mounted on a roof to determine ear positions of passengers (*fig. 13: 520; col. 26, lines 9-12; col. 9, lines 24-35; col. 29, lines 55-64; col. 31, lines 30-37*); but fails to disclose using piezo-electric elements mounted on seats to determine the number and positions of the passengers. However, Ogino et al does (*abstract; col. 19, lines 14-16*).

Taking the combined teachings of Breed et al and Ogino et al as a whole, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify the method in claim 1, wherein the detecting step further comprises: using ultrasonic sensors mounted on a roof to determine ear positions of passengers (fig. 13: 520; col. 26, lines 9-12; col. 9, lines 24-35; col. 29, lines 55-64; col. 31, lines 30-37) of Breed et al with using piezo-electric elements mounted on seats to determine the number and positions of the passengers as taught in Ogino et al (abstract; col. 19, lines 14-16) to accurately decide whether or not a person is present.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Monikang whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 2615

270-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. alt Fri. Off 7:30am-5:00pm (est).

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George Monikang

5/21/2007

SUPERVICION PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800